

REPORT

**ON THE OUTCOMES
OF THE SHORT-TERM EVALUATION
QUESTIONNAIRES**

2019 Training Sessions

Training Session No. 1 | Budapest (Hungary), 28 March 2019

Training Session No. 2 | Budapest (Hungary), 29 March 2019

drafted by Partner ELTE

Training Session No. 3 | Milan (Italy), 13 June 2019

Training Session No. 4 | Milan (Italy), 14 June 2019

drafted by Partner UNIMIB

Training Session No. 1
Budapest (Hungary), 28 March 2019
drafted by Partner ELTE

Report on the outcomes of the Short-Term Evaluation Questionnaire

I. INTRODUCTION

Training Session No 1 (hereinafter – “Training 1”) took place on 28 March 2019 in Budapest, Hungary. It was dedicated to parental responsibilities and child abduction (for details please see the program of Training 1 available at the project’s website).

Organization of the event was administered and managed by hosting partner of the project, Eötvös Lorand University (ELTE). For the location of the event, A/1 room of Faculty of Law of Eötvös Lorand University was chosen. Coffee break and lunch were held there, lunch was offered by Károlyi Restaurant, three-minute walk from the venue of the training.

II. PARTICIPANTS

Details regarding the number, profession and origin of the participants are provided in the table below:

		PARTICIPANTS						
		Hungary	Italy	Lithuania	Portugal	Other	External evaluator	Total
28 March 2019	Participants	30	5	5	5	2	0	47
		L* – 23 SW** – 7	L* – 5 SW** – 0	L* – 3 SW** – 2	L* – 5	SW ** – 2	N/A	L* – 36 SW** – 11
	Trainers	2	3	2	1	0	N/A	8
TOTAL		32	8	7	6	2	0	55

* L – lawyers;

** SW – social workers.

*** O – others.

Participants were selected from candidates who applied for the training by submitting applications to the partner in each country, and through the selection procedure run in cooperation with social partners (Portuguese Bar Association, Lithuanian Bar Association, Service for Protection of Children Rights of Lithuania, Budapest Bar Association, etc.)

III. SURVEY AND ITS RESULTS

For getting instant feedback on the Training 1, participants were invited to fill-in the short-term evaluation questionnaire where they were asked to evaluate statements in the scale from 1 to 5 (where 1 is – low rate, dissatisfaction, and 5 – high rate, high satisfaction), grouped into six sections, each dedicated to:

- 1) general information about participants (country of origin, profession);
- 2) organisation and logistics of the event;
- 3) overall evaluation of the training;
- 4) trainers;
- 5) self-evaluation and benefit for the trainees;
- 6) evaluation of each presentation individually.

Participants were also welcome to leave their own comment, feedback or share any other idea.

Further, based on the participants' responses, general comments are provided by summing up the responses to the questions of each section. Exact evaluations are provided in the charts, which can be found in the Annex 1 to this Report.

In total, 47 filled-in questionnaires were received (100 % of trainees, which attended Training 1). Most of questionnaires were returned with all statements evaluated. Seven participants took the opportunity to leave a comment.

ANALYSIS OF THE RESPONSES

1) General information about participants

Participants came mainly from Hungary. Besides five-five participants from each of the partners' countries and two participants from 'third countries' (Bulgaria and Germany) attended Training 1. Approximately 76% of them were lawyers, and 24% of them came from social service.

2) Organisation and logistics of the events

Participants gave an extremely positive feedback concerning the organisational and logistics aspects of the event. Almost 90 % of respondents gave the highest rank for the organisation and the logistics of the event and almost 90 % of them gave the highest rank (5)

for the location and technical equipment. 17 participants could evaluate the travel and accommodation organisation and 13 of them gave the highest rank. Only the minority of the participants gave the rank of four.

3) Overall evaluation of the training

Approximately 80 % of the evaluations concerning the overall evaluation of the Training 1 were at the highest rank. More than 85 % of the evaluations reached the highest rank when evaluating the usefulness of the training in English and method of delivering the content of the training. They were especially satisfied with the materials, documents and powerpoint presentations.

4) Trainers

The participants found the trainers as well prepared (more than 85 % of the participants gave the highest rank). 75-80 % of the answers were the most positive ones when responding the participants' opinion about the relationship between the discussed issues and the participants' profession and the efficiency of the engagement in the discussions. 65 % of the trainees gave the highest rank and 34 % of the participants gave almost the highest one (4) for the structure of the presentations.

5) Self-evaluation and benefit for the trainees

What concerns the participants' level of knowledge before the training session the half of them held their own knowledge at a middle level (3), 23% of them at level 4. The remaining part of the trainees evaluated their knowledge at very high or very low level. More than 75 % of the participants were at the opinion that the training 1 extremely improved their level of knowledge.

6) Evaluation of each presentation

For each presentation, participants were asked to evaluate separately clarity and effectiveness of explanation of the topic and case studies. The trainees were satisfied with both the presentations and the case studies (4-5).

IV. SUMMARY AND PROPOSALS FOR IMPROVEMENTS

In general, participants' feedback was very positive, and some comments emphasized the usefulness of the Training 1.

Budapest, July 2019

(ANNEX 1 Summaries of the responses)

Annex 1

II) a) Professional background					
	Social service staff	Academic	Other		
Lawyer	36	0	0	47	
Total	11	0	0	47	100,00%
Total	76,60%	23,40%	0,00%		
PERCENTAGE					
II) b) Background - country of residence					
	Hungary	Lithuania	Portugal	Other	
	30	5	5	2	47
Total	53,83%	10,64%	10,64%	4,26%	100,00%
Total					
PERCENTAGE					
III) ORGANISATION AND LOGISTICS OF THE EVENT					
a) OVERALL SCHEDULE					
	1	2	3	4	5
	0	0	0	5	42
Total	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	10,64%	89,36%
Total					
PERCENTAGE					
b) LOCATION AND EQUIPMENT					
	1	2	3	4	5
	0	0	0	5	42
Total	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	10,64%	89,36%
Total					
PERCENTAGE					
c) TRAVEL AND ACCOMODATION					
	1	2	3	4	5
	0	0	0	3	14
Total	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	17,65%	82,35%
Total					
PERCENTAGE					
III) OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE TRAINING					
a) OVERALL EVALUATION					
	1	2	3	4	5
	0	0	1	8	38
Total	0,00%	0,00%	2,13%	17,02%	80,85%
Total					
PERCENTAGE					
b) FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRAINING					
	1	2	3	4	5
	0	0	1	6	40
Total	0,00%	0,00%	2,13%	12,77%	85,11%
Total					
PERCENTAGE					
c) TRAINING CONTENT					
	1	2	3	4	5
	0	0	2	8	37
Total	0,00%	0,00%	4,26%	17,02%	78,72%
Total					
PERCENTAGE					
d) TRAINING MATERIALS					
	1	2	3	4	5
	0	0	1	8	37
Total	0,00%	0,00%	2,17%	17,39%	80,43%
Total					
PERCENTAGE					
e) DIDACTIC TOOLS AND METHODS					
a) OVERALL EVALUATION					
	1	2	3	4	5
	0	0	1	6	38
Total	0,00%	0,00%	2,22%	13,33%	84,44%
Total					
PERCENTAGE					
b) CASE STUDIES					
	1	2	3	4	5
	0	0	1	11	34
Total	0,00%	0,00%	2,17%	23,91%	73,91%
Total					
PERCENTAGE					
c) MAIN ISSUES					
	1	2	3	4	5
	0	0	0	16	31
Total	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	34,04%	65,96%
Total					
PERCENTAGE					
d) ENGAGE IN DISCUSSION					
	1	2	3	4	5
	0	0	0	10	36
Total	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	21,74%	78,26%
Total					
PERCENTAGE					
e) KNOWLEDGE IN YOUR DAILY WORK LIFE					
	1	2	3	4	5
	0	1	2	11	33
Total	0,00%	2,13%	4,26%	23,40%	70,21%
Total					
PERCENTAGE					
IV) TRAINERS					
a) WELL-PREPARED					
	1	2	3	4	5
	0	0	0	7	40
Total	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	14,89%	85,11%
Total					
PERCENTAGE					
b) PRESENTATIONS					
	1	2	3	4	5
	0	0	0	16	31
Total	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	34,04%	65,96%
Total					
PERCENTAGE					
c) IMPROVE PROFESSIONAL SKILLS					
	1	2	3	4	5
	0	1	2	11	33
Total	0,00%	2,13%	4,26%	23,40%	70,21%
Total					
PERCENTAGE					
V) SELF-EVALUATION AND BENEFIT					
a) LEVEL OF YOUR KNOWLEDGE					
	1	2	3	4	5
	1	4	24	11	7
Total	2,13%	8,51%	51,06%	23,40%	14,89%
Total					
PERCENTAGE					

VI) SPECIFIC QUESTIONS												
2) Jurisdiction in parental responsibility matters												
1) Parental responsibility (general overview)						b) CASE STUDIES						
a) CONTENTS						b) CASE STUDIES						
1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5		
0	2	1	7	36	46	0	0	0	4	42	46	
0,00%	4,35%	2,17%	15,22%	78,26%	100,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	8,70%	91,30%	100,00%	
Total						46						
PERCENTAGE						100,00%						

3) Law applicable to parental responsibility matters												
4) Recognition and enforcement of decisions in parental responsibility matters												
a) CONTENTS						b) CASE STUDIES						
1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5		
0	6	9	32	47	47	0	0	1	7	37	45	
0,00%	12,77%	19,15%	68,09%	100,00%	100,00%	0,00%	0,00%	2,22%	15,56%	82,22%	100,00%	
Total						47						
PERCENTAGE						100,00%						

Training Session No. 2
Budapest (Hungary), 29 March 2019
drafted by Partner ELTE

Report on the outcomes of the Short-Term Evaluation Questionnaire

I. INTRODUCTION

Training Session No 2 (hereinafter – “Training 2”) took place on 29 March 2019 in Budapest, Hungary. It was dedicated to parental responsibilities and child abduction (for details please see the program of Training 2 available at the project’s website).

Organization of the event was administered and managed by hosting partner of the project, Eötvös Lorand University (ELTE). For the location of the event, A/1 room of Faculty of Law of Eötvös Lorand University was chosen. Coffee break and lunch were held there, lunch was offered by Károlyi Restaurant, three-minute walk from the venue of the training.

II. PARTICIPANTS

Details regarding the number, profession and origin of the participants are provided in the table below:

		PARTICIPANTS						
		Hungary	Italy	Lithuania	Portugal	Other	External evaluator	Total
29 March 2019	Participants	29	5	5	5	2	0	46
		L* – 23 SW** – 6	L* – 5 SW** – 0	L* – 3 SW** – 2	L* – 5	SW ** – 2	N/A	L* – 36 SW** – 10
	Trainers	2	5	2	1	0	N/A	10
TOTAL		31	10	7	6	2	0	56

* L – lawyers;

** SW – social workers.

*** O – others.

Participants were selected from candidates who applied for the training by submitting applications to the partner in each country, and through the selection procedure run in cooperation with social partners (Portuguese Bar Association, Lithuanian Bar Association, Service for Protection of Children Rights of Lithuania, Budapest Bar Association, etc.) On the Training 2 a guest trainer gave a lecture from the Hungarian Central Authority.

III. SURVEY AND ITS RESULTS

For getting instant feedback on the Training 2, participants were invited to fill-in the short-term evaluation questionnaire where they were asked to evaluate statements in the scale from 1 to 5 (where 1 is – low rate, dissatisfaction, and 5 – high rate, high satisfaction), grouped into six sections, each dedicated to:

- 7) general information about participants (country of origin, profession);
- 8) organisation and logistics of the event;
- 9) overall evaluation of the training;
- 10) trainers;
- 11) self-evaluation and benefit for the trainees;
- 12) evaluation of each presentation individually.

Participants were also welcome to leave their own comment, feedback or share any other idea.

Further, based on the participants' responses, general comments are provided by summing up the responses to the questions of each section. Exact evaluations are provided in the charts, which can be found in the Annex 1 to this Report.

In total, 46 filled-in questionnaires were received (100 % of trainees, which attended Training 2). Most of questionnaires were returned with all statements evaluated. Eight participants took the opportunity to leave a comment.

ANALYSIS OF THE RESPONSES

1) General information about participants

Participants came mainly from Hungary. Besides five-five participants from each of the partners' countries and two participants from 'third countries' (Bulgaria and Germany) attended Training 2. Approximately 78% of them were lawyers, and 22% of them came from social service.

2) Organisation and logistics of the events

Participants gave an extremely positive feedback concerning the organisational and logistics aspects of the event. Approximately 85 % of respondents gave the highest rank for the organisation and the logistics of the event and almost 90 % of them gave the highest rank (5) for the location and technical equipment. 17 participants could evaluate the travel and accommodation organisation and 13 of them gave the highest rank. Only the minority of the participants gave the rank of four.

3) Overall evaluation of the training

More than 90 % of the evaluations concerning the overall evaluation of the Training 2 were at the highest rank. More than 85 % of the evaluations reached the highest rank when evaluating the case studies presented during the training and the method of delivering the content of the training. They were especially satisfied with the materials, documents and powerpoint presentations.

4) Trainers

The participants found the trainers as well prepared (more than 85 % of the participants gave the highest rank). 78-80 % of the answers were the most positive ones when responding the participants' opinion about the relationship between the discussed issues and the participants' profession and the efficiency of the engagement in the discussions. A lot of trainees gave the highest rank and some of them gave almost the highest one (4) for the structure of the presentations.

5) Self-evaluation and benefit for the trainees

What concerns the participants' level of knowledge before the training session 37 % of them held their own knowledge at a middle level (3), 32 % of them at level 4. The remaining part of the trainees evaluated their knowledge at very high or very low level. More than 75 % of the participants were at the opinion that the training 2 extremely improved their level of knowledge.

6) Evaluation of each presentation

For each presentation, participants were asked to evaluate separately clarity and effectiveness of explanation of the topic and case studies. The trainees were satisfied with both the presentations and the case studies (4-5).

IV. SUMMARY AND PROPOSALS FOR IMPROVEMENTS

In general, participants' feedback was very positive, and some comments emphasized the usefulness of the Training 2.

Budapest, July 2019

(ANNEX 1 Summaries of the responses)

Annex 1

II) a) Professional background						
	Lawyer	Social service staff	Academic	Other		
Total	36	10	0	0	46	
Total PERCENTAGE	78,26%	21,74%	0,00%	0,00%	100,00%	
II) b) Background - country of residence						
	Hungary	Italy	Lithuania	Portugal	Other	
Total	29	5	5	5	2	46
Total PERCENTAGE	63,04%	10,87%	10,87%	10,87%	4,35%	100,00%
III) ORGANISATION AND LOGISTICS OF THE EVENT						
a) OVERALL SCHEDULE						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Total	0	0	0	7	40	47
Total PERCENTAGE	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	14,89%	85,11%	100,00%
b) LOCATION AND EQUIPMENT						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Total	0	0	0	5	41	46
Total PERCENTAGE	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	10,87%	89,13%	100,00%
c) TRAVEL AND ACCOMMODATION						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Total	0	0	0	5	12	17
Total PERCENTAGE	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	29,41%	70,59%	100,00%
III) OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE TRAINING						
a) OVERALL EVALUATION						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Total	0	0	0	4	42	46
Total PERCENTAGE	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	8,70%	91,30%	100,00%
b) FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRAINING						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Total	0	0	0	12	34	46
Total PERCENTAGE	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	26,09%	73,91%	100,00%
c) TRAINING CONTENT						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Total	0	0	0	7	39	46
Total PERCENTAGE	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	15,22%	84,78%	100,00%
d) TRAINING MATERIALS						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Total	0	0	0	8	38	46
Total PERCENTAGE	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	17,39%	82,61%	100,00%
IV) TRAINERS						
a) DIDACTIC TOOLS AND METHODS						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Total	0	0	0	6	40	46
Total PERCENTAGE	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	13,04%	86,96%	100,00%
b) CASE STUDIES						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Total	0	0	0	6	40	46
Total PERCENTAGE	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	13,04%	86,96%	100,00%
c) CASE STUDIES AND TRAINING NEEDS						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Total	0	0	1	10	35	46
Total PERCENTAGE	0,00%	0,00%	2,17%	21,74%	76,09%	100,00%
d) MAIN ISSUES						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Total	0	0	2	8	36	46
Total PERCENTAGE	0,00%	0,00%	4,35%	17,39%	78,26%	100,00%
e) ENGAGE IN DISCUSSION						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Total	0	0	0	9	37	46
Total PERCENTAGE	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	19,57%	80,43%	100,00%
f) PRESENTATIONS						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Total	0	0	0	7	39	46
Total PERCENTAGE	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	15,22%	84,78%	100,00%
g) IMPROVE KNOWLEDGE						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Total	0	0	1	9	36	46
Total PERCENTAGE	0,00%	0,00%	2,17%	19,57%	78,26%	100,00%
h) LEVEL OF YOUR KNOWLEDGE						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Total	0	3	17	15	11	46
Total PERCENTAGE	0,00%	6,52%	36,96%	32,61%	23,91%	100,00%
i) IMPROVE PROFESSIONAL SKILLS						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Total	0	0	2	9	35	46
Total PERCENTAGE	0,00%	0,00%	4,35%	19,57%	76,09%	100,00%
j) KNOWLEDGE IN YOUR DAILY WORK LIFE						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Total	0	0	0	9	27	46
Total PERCENTAGE	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	19,57%	58,70%	100,00%

VI) SPECIFIC QUESTIONS																			
1) International child abduction (general overview)					2) Return proceedings and exceptions to return under the BRGHC					3) The role and tasks of the CA in child abduction cases									
a) CONTENTS					b) CASE STUDIES					a) CONTENTS			b) CASE STUDIES						
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	5	41	0	0	1	5	40	0	0	0	1	41	0	1	0	4	45
0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	10,87%	89,13%	0,00%	0,00%	2,17%	10,87%	86,96%	0,00%	0,00%	2,17%	8,70%	89,13%	0,00%	2,22%	0,00%	6,67%	91,11%
100,00%					100,00%					100,00%					100,00%				
Total																			
PERCENTAGE																			

5) Art.11 (6-8) and recognition and enforcement of decisions in Brussels Ia Regulation																			
a) CONTENTS					b) CASE STUDIES					a) CONTENTS			b) CASE STUDIES						
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	1	3	38	0	1	1	8	32	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	1	41
0,00%	0,00%	2,38%	7,14%	90,48%	0,00%	2,38%	2,38%	19,05%	76,19%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	2,44%	9,76%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	2,44%	87,80%
100,00%					100,00%					100,00%					100,00%				
Total																			
PERCENTAGE																			

Training Session No. 3
Milan (Italy), 13 June 2019
drafted by Partner UNIMIB

Report on the outcomes of the Short-Term Evaluation Questionnaire

I. INTRODUCTION

Training Session No 3 (hereinafter – “Training 3”) took place on 13 June 2019 in Milan, Italy. It was dedicated to matrimonial matters and maintenance obligations (for details please see the Program of the training).

Organization of the event was administered and managed by hosting partner of the project, University of Milano Bicocca (UNIMIB). For the location of the event, Sala Lauree of School of Law of the University of Milano-Bicocca was chosen. Coffee break and lunch were offered by University of Milano-Bicocca, in front of Sala Lauree.

II. PARTICIPANTS

Details regarding the number, profession and origin of the participants are provided in the table below:

		PARTICIPANTS						
		Italy	Hungary	Lithuania	Portugal	Other	External evaluator	Total
13 June 2019	Participants	25	7	5	5	3	0	45
		L* – 24 SW** – 1	L* – 4 SW** – 2 A**** – 1	L* – 3 SW** – 1 A**** – 1	L* – 5	SW** – 2 O*** – 1	N/A	L* – 36 SW** – 6 O*** – 1 A**** – 2
	Trainers	5	2	2	3	0	N/A	12
TOTAL		30	9	7	8	3	0	57

* L – lawyers;

** SW – social workers.

*** O – others.

**** A – academic

Participants were selected from candidates who applied for the training by submitting applications to the partner in each country, and through the selection procedure run in cooperation with social partners (Portuguese Bar Association, Lithuanian Bar Association, Service for Protection of Children Rights of Lithuania, Budapest Bar Association, etc.)

III. SURVEY AND ITS RESULTS

For getting instant feedback on the Training 3, participants were invited to fill-in the short-term evaluation questionnaire where they were asked to evaluate statements in the scale from 1 to 5 (where 1 is – low rate, dissatisfaction, and 5 – high rate, high satisfaction), grouped into six sections, each dedicated to:

- 13) general information about participants (country of origin, profession);
- 14) organisation and logistics of the event;
- 15) overall evaluation of the training;
- 16) trainers;
- 17) self-evaluation and benefit for the trainees;
- 18) evaluation of each presentation individually.

Participants were also welcome to leave their own comment, feedback or share any other idea.

Further, based on the participants' responses, general comments are provided by summing up the responses to the questions of each section. Exact evaluations are provided in the charts, which can be found in the Annex 1 to this Report.

In total, 45 filled-in questionnaires were received (100 % of trainees, which attended Training 3). Most of questionnaires were returned with all statements evaluated. Six participants took the opportunity to leave a comment.

ANALYSIS OF THE RESPONSES

1) General information about participants

Participants came mainly from Italy. Five/six participants from each of the partners' countries (in total, seven) attended Training 3. Most of them were lawyers, six participants were service social workers, two were academics and one was a «Jurist» from France.

2) Organisation and Logistics of the Event

Participants gave a positive feedback as to organisational and logistics aspects of the event. They felt comfortable with the overall schedule of the event (timing, length of the pauses, lunch breaks, etc.).

51,11 % of respondents were extremely satisfied with the location, choice of premises for the event, and equipment available. Almost 70 % of participants rated overall schedule at the highest rate of 5. Not all participants had to travel and needed accommodation for attending the trainings, therefore only 38 respondents answered the relevant question, by evaluating organisation of travelling and accommodation at high rate (68,42 % giving the highest mark of 5).

3) Overall evaluation of the training

When evaluating the Training 3 in general, 60% of participants gave the highest mark of 5. Similarly, close to 60% of respondents thought that the training was very effective, supporting materials provided were very helpful, and teaching methods and the content of the training was at very good level.

4) Trainers

Participants were asked to share their opinion on preparation level of the speakers, structure and effectiveness of presentations, the extent of addressing the issues important for particular legal profession, speakers' engagement in discussion and its facilitation.

Generally, participants' feedback is highly positive, with around 60% rate of 5 for all questions. Most of the other participants' feedback is positive, with around 30-40% rate 4 to the questions. Most of participants appreciated the engagement in discussion (almost 66%).

5) Self-evaluation and benefit for the trainees

More than half of all trainees evaluated their knowledge as of middle level (evaluated by 3) or advanced level (evaluated by 4). 2 participants stated their knowledge was basic (evaluated by 1 and 2), and 7 participants evaluated having deep knowledge of the subject (evaluated by 5). It is worth noticing that most participants, including both basic and advanced learners, felt that they strongly advanced in the EU family law and improved professional skills during the Training 3.

6) Evaluation of each presentation

For each presentation, participants were asked to evaluate separately clarity and effectiveness of explanation of the topic and case studies. Most of the presentations were scored 4 or 5 for speaker's presentation on the subject matter. Similar scores were given for case studies.

IV. SUMMARY AND PROPOSALS FOR IMPROVEMENTS

In general, participants' feedback was positive in all aspects. For the trainer's module creation partners should consider further testing/improving following aspects:

- Less case studies, giving participants more time to solve them.
- Better management of time during the training.

Milan, July 2019

(ANNEX 1 Summaries of the responses)

Annex 1

II) a) Professional background										
	Lawyer	6	2	1	45					
	Social service staff									
	Academic									
	Other									
Total		36			45					
Total/PERCENTAGE		80,00%	4,44%	2,22%	100,00%					
II) b) Background - country of residence										
	Italy	25	5	3	45					
	Lithuania									
	Portugal									
	Other									
Total		7	15,56%	11,11%	6,67%	100,00%				
Total/PERCENTAGE										
III) ORGANISATION AND LOGISTICS OF THE EVENT										
a) OVERALL SCHEDULE										
	1	2	3	4	5					
	2	3	5	5	30	45				
Total						45				
Total/PERCENTAGE						100,00%				
b) LOCATION AND EQUIPMENT										
	1	2	3	4	5					
	0	4	5	13	23	45				
Total						45				
Total/PERCENTAGE						100,00%				
c) TRAVEL AND ACCOMMODATION										
	1	2	3	4	5					
	1	1	3	7	26	38				
Total						38				
Total/PERCENTAGE						100,00%				
IV) OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE TRAINING										
a) OVERALL EVALUATION										
	1	2	3	4	5					
	0	3	2	13	27	45				
Total						45				
Total/PERCENTAGE						100,00%				
b) FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRAINING										
	1	2	3	4	5					
	1	1	2	11	30	45				
Total						45				
Total/PERCENTAGE						100,00%				
c) MAIN ISSUES										
	1	2	3	4	5					
	1	0	1	18	25	45				
Total						45				
Total/PERCENTAGE						100,00%				
d) PRESENTATIONS										
	1	2	3	4	5					
	1	0	1	18	25	45				
Total						45				
Total/PERCENTAGE						100,00%				
e) WELL-PREPARED										
	1	2	3	4	5					
	0	1	0	8	36	45				
Total						45				
Total/PERCENTAGE						100,00%				
f) TRAINING MATERIALS										
	1	2	3	4	5					
	0	0	2	15	26	43				
Total						43				
Total/PERCENTAGE						100,00%				
g) KNOWLEDGE IN YOUR DAILY WORK LIFE										
	1	2	3	4	5					
	0	1	2	12	29	44				
Total						44				
Total/PERCENTAGE						100,00%				
V) SELF-EVALUATION AND BENEFIT										
a) LEVEL OF YOUR KNOWLEDGE										
	1	2	3	4	5					
	2	9	18	9	7	45				
Total						45				
Total/PERCENTAGE						100,00%				
b) IMPROVE PROFESSIONAL SKILLS										
	1	2	3	4	5					
	0	3	7	34	21	45				
Total						45				
Total/PERCENTAGE						100,00%				
c) IMPROVE PROFESSIONAL SKILLS										
	1	2	3	4	5					
	0	0	3	7	34	44				
Total						44				
Total/PERCENTAGE						100,00%				
d) KNOWLEDGE IN YOUR DAILY WORK LIFE										
	1	2	3	4	5					
	0	6	11	14	14	45				
Total						45				
Total/PERCENTAGE						100,00%				

VI) SPECIFIC QUESTIONS												
1) DIVORCE AND SEPARATION												
a) CONTENTS						b) CASE STUDIES						
1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5		
0	2	3	14	23	42	1	4	1	15	25	46	
0,00%	4,76%	7,14%	33,33%	54,76%	100,00%	2,17%	8,70%	2,17%	32,61%	54,35%	100,00%	
2) MAINTENANCE OBLIGATIONS: REG. 4/2009												
a) CONTENTS						b) CASE STUDIES						
1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5		
0	0	6	14	21	41	0	0	0	13	22	35	
0,00%	0,00%	14,63%	34,15%	51,22%	100,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	37,14%	62,86%	100,00%	
3) MAINTENANCE OBLIGATIONS: HAGUE PROTOCOL												
a) CONTENTS						b) CASE STUDIES						
1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5		
0	0	0	14	29	43	0	0	0	14	29	43	
0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	32,56%	67,44%	100,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	32,56%	67,44%	100,00%	

Training Session No. 4
Milan (Italy), 14 June 2019
drafted by Partner UNIMIB

Report on the outcomes of the Short-Term Evaluation Questionnaire

I. INTRODUCTION

Training Session No 4 (hereinafter – “Training 4”) took place on 14 June 2019 in Milan, Italy. It was dedicated to Matrimonial Property Regimes and Circulation of Decisions (for details please see the Program of the training).

Organization of the event was administered and managed by hosting partner of the project, University of Milano Bicocca (UNIMIB). For the location of the event, Sala Lauree of School of Law of the University of Milano-Bicocca was chosen. The coffee break and lunch were offered by University of Milano-Bicocca, in front of Sala Lauree.

II. PARTICIPANTS

Details regarding the number, profession and origin of the participants are provided in the table below:

		PARTICIPANTS						
		Italy	Hungary	Lithuania	Portugal	Other	External evaluator	Total
14 June 2019	Participants	24	7	4	5	3	1	44
		L* – 22 SW** – 2	L* – 3 SW** – 2 A**** – 2	L* – 3 SW** – 1	L* – 5	SW** – 3	1	L* – 33 SW** – 8 A**** – 2
	Trainers	5	2	2	3		N/A	12
TOTAL		29	9	6	8	3	1	56

* L – lawyers;

** SW – social workers.

*** O – others.

**** A – academic

Participants were selected from candidates who applied for the training by submitting applications to the partner in each country, and through the selection procedure run in

cooperation with social partners (Portuguese Bar Association, Lithuanian Bar Association, Service for Protection of Children Rights of Lithuania, Budapest Bar Association, etc.)

III. SURVEY AND ITS RESULTS

For getting instant feedback on Training 4, participants were invited to fill-in the short-term evaluation questionnaire where they were asked to evaluate statements in the scale from 1 to 5 (where 1 is the low rate, dissatisfaction, and 5 – high rate, high satisfaction), grouped into six sections, each dedicated to:

- 19) general information about participants (country of origin, profession);
- 20) organisation and logistics of the event;
- 21) overall evaluation of the training;
- 22) trainers;
- 23) self-evaluation and benefit for the trainees;
- 24) evaluation of each presentation individually.

Participants were also welcome to leave their own comment, feedback or share any other idea.

Further, based on the participants' responses, general comments are provided by summing up the responses to the questions of each section. Exact evaluations are provided in the charts, which can be found in the Annex 1 to this Report.

In total, 43 filled-in questionnaires were received (100 % of trainees, which attended Training 4). Most of questionnaires were returned with all statements evaluated. Only two participants took an opportunity to leave a comment.

ANALYSIS OF THE RESPONSES

1) General information about participants

Participants came mainly from Italy. Five/six participants from each of the partners' countries (in total, seven) attended Training 4. Most of them were lawyers, eight participants were service social workers, two were academics.

2) Organisation and Logistics of the Event

Participants gave a positive feedback as to organisational and logistics aspects of the event. They felt comfortable with the overall schedule of the event (timing, length of the pauses, lunch breaks, etc.).

55,81 % of respondents were extremely satisfied (level 5) with the location, choice of premises for the event, and equipment available. Almost 61 % of participants rated overall

schedule at the highest rate of 5. Participants were also satisfied with the organisation of travelling and accommodation (62,79 % gave the highest mark of 5 and 34,88 % gave 4).

3) Overall evaluation of the training

At evaluating the Training 4 in general, 72 % of participants gave the highest mark of 5. Similarly, most of respondents thought that the training was very effective, supporting materials provided were very helpful, teaching methods and the content of the training was at very good level.

4) Trainers

Participants were asked to share their opinion on preparation level of the speakers, structure and effectiveness of presentations, the extent of addressing the issues important for particular legal profession, speakers' engagement in discussion and its facilitation.

Generally, participants' feedback is highly positive, with around 70 % rate of 5 for all questions. Most of participants highly appreciated the presentations (almost 77 %).

5) Self-evaluation and benefit for the trainees

Almost a half of all trainees evaluated their knowledge as of middle level (evaluated by 3) or advanced level (evaluated by 4). Ten participants stated their knowledge was basic (evaluated by 1 and 2), and 10 trainees evaluated having deep knowledge of the subject (evaluated by 5). It is worth noticing that most participants (88 %), including both basic and advanced learners, felt that they strongly advanced in the EU family law and improved professional skills during the Training 4.

6) Evaluation of each presentation

For each presentation, participants were asked to evaluate separately clarity and effectiveness of explanation of the topic and case studies. All presentations were scored 4 or 5 for speaker's presentation on the subject matter. Similar scores were given for case studies.

IV. SUMMARY AND PROPOSALS FOR IMPROVEMENTS

In general, participants' feedback was positive in all aspects. For the trainer's module creation partners should consider further testing/improving following aspects:

- Less case studies, giving participants more time to solve them.
- Better management of time during the training.

Milan, July 2019

(ANNEX 1 Summaries of the responses)

Annex 1

II) ORGANISATION AND LOGISTICS OF THE EVENT											
a) OVERALL SCHEDULE											
		1	2	3	4	5					
Total		0	3	3	11	26					
PERCENTAGE		0,00%	6,98%	6,98%	25,58%	60,47%					
b) LOCATION AND EQUIPMENT											
		1	2	3	4	5					
Total		0	0	7	12	24					
PERCENTAGE		0,00%	0,00%	16,28%	27,53%	55,81%					
c) TRAINING CONTENT											
		1	2	3	4	5					
Total		0	0	2	13	28					
PERCENTAGE		0,00%	0,00%	4,65%	30,23%	65,12%					
III) OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE TRAINING											
a) OVERALL EVALUATION											
		1	2	3	4	5					
Total		0	0	3	9	31					
PERCENTAGE		0,00%	0,00%	6,98%	20,93%	72,09%					
b) PRESENTATIONS											
		1	2	3	4	5					
Total		0	0	3	7	33					
PERCENTAGE		0,00%	0,00%	6,98%	16,28%	76,74%					
c) MAIN ISSUES											
		1	2	3	4	5					
Total		0	1	7	9	26					
PERCENTAGE		0,00%	2,33%	16,28%	20,93%	60,47%					
d) TRAINING MATERIALS											
		1	2	3	4	5					
Total		0	1	4	7	31					
PERCENTAGE		0,00%	2,33%	9,30%	16,28%	72,09%					
e) ENGAGE IN DISCUSSION											
		1	2	3	4	5					
Total		0	1	3	10	29					
PERCENTAGE		0,00%	2,33%	6,98%	23,26%	67,44%					
f) KNOWLEDGE IN YOUR DAILY WORK LIFE											
		1	2	3	4	5					
Total		0	1	6	16	20					
PERCENTAGE		0,00%	2,33%	13,95%	37,21%	46,51%					
g) DIDACTIC TOOLS AND METHODS											
		1	2	3	4	5					
Total		0	2	3	10	28					
PERCENTAGE		0,00%	4,65%	6,98%	23,26%	65,12%					
IV) TRAINERS											
a) WELL-PREPARED											
		1	2	3	4	5					
Total		0	0	2	9	32					
PERCENTAGE		0,00%	0,00%	4,65%	20,93%	74,42%					
b) LEVEL OF YOUR KNOWLEDGE											
		1	2	3	4	5					
Total		3	7	13	10	10					
PERCENTAGE		6,98%	16,28%	30,23%	23,26%	23,26%					

VJ) SPECIFIC QUESTIONS												
1) MATRIMONIAL PROPERTY REGIMES: REG 1103 AND 1104/ 2016 AND INTERNATIONAL COUPLES												
a) CONTENTS						b) CASE STUDIES						
1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5		
0	0	0	10	33	43	0	0	0	12	31	43	
0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	23,26%	76,74%	100%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	27,91%	72,09%	100%	
Total												
PERCENTAGE												
2) MATRIMONIAL PROPERTY REGIMES: REG 1103 AND 1104/ 2016 AND LAW APPLICABLE												
a) CONTENTS						b) CASE STUDIES						
1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5		
0	0	0	9	34	43	0	0	0	10	33	43	
0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	20,93%	79,07%	100%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	23,26%	76,74%	100%	
Total												
PERCENTAGE												
3) CIRCULATION OF DECISIONS: RECOGNITION OF JUDGMENTS IN MATRIMONIAL MATTERS												
a) CONTENTS						b) CASE STUDIES N/A						
1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5		
0	0	0	11	32	43							
0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	25,58%	74,42%	100%							
Total												
PERCENTAGE												
4) CIRCULATION OF DECISIONS: SPECIAL ISSUES ON RECOGNITION OF MATRIMONIAL DECISIONS												
a) CONTENTS						b) CASE STUDIES						
1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5		
0	0	0	11	32	43	0	0	0	11	32	43	
0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	25,58%	74,42%	100%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	25,58%	74,42%	100%	
Total												
PERCENTAGE												
5) CIRCULATION OF DECISIONS: ENFORCEMENT OF DECISIONS ON MAINTENANCE												
a) CONTENTS						b) CASE STUDIES						
1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5		
0	0	0	14	29	43	0	0	0	14	29	43	
0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	32,56%	67,44%	100%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	32,56%	67,44%	100%	
Total												
PERCENTAGE												